IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 7880 of 1998

Between:

Warangal Public Telephone Operations rep. by President Sri Hafis.M.F.R.Wajid Welfare Association, Warangal.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Govt. of India, rep. by Secretary
Ministry of Telecom, Sanchar Bhavan, New Delhi.
2 The Chef General Manager Telecommunications Dept.
Suryalok Complex, Hyderabad.
3 The General Manager, Telecommunications Department,
Produturi Complex, Hanamkonda, Warangal District.
4 The Telecom District Manager,
Near Kakatitya Medical College, Warangal.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring that the advertisement dt: 2-1-1998 in Eenadu newspaper issued by the 4th Respondent calling for applications for allotment of Addl. STD/PTs at Warangal without preparing any data is in violation of the policy of the Govt. and contrary to the guidelines issued by the Govt. of India in its proceedings dt:11-4-94 and therefore it is arbitrary and illegal and without jurisdiction and consequently direct the respondent No.4 herein not to make any further allotment hereafter without preparing the data as required under the guidelines issued by the Govt. of India in its procs.No.31-2-91/PM dt: 11-4-94.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Ms.SABA ANIS, Advocate (not present)
Counsel for Respondents : Mr.P.PHALGUNA RAO

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

On 25.4.2005, when the writ petition has come up for consideration, learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 26.4.2005 under the caption 'for dismissal'.

Today when the matter is taken up for hearing in the forenoon, none appears for the petitioner. The matter was passed over and again taken up at 2.15 p.m., but none appears for the petitioner and there is no representation. In the circumstances, this writ petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 26.4.2005

DA/SVV

To

1 The Secretary, Government of India,
Ministry of Telecom, Sanchar Bhavan, New Delhi.
2 The Chef General Manager Telecommunications Dept.
Suryalok Complex, Hyderabad.
3 The General Manager Telecommunications Department,
Produturi Complex, Hanamkonda, Warangal District.
4 The Telecom District Manager,
Near Kakatitya Medical College, Warangal.

5 Two CD copies.